

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE		FIRST NAMÉD INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/922,182	08/02/2001		Gregory Maurice Plow	STL920000035US1	7553
7	7590	12/04/2003		EXAMINER	
John L. Rogit			MAMMEN, NATHAN SCOTT		
Rogitz & Asso Suite 3120	ciates		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
750 B Street				3671	
San Diego, CA 92101				DATE MAÎLED: 12/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 11 41 A1	A						
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- v					
Advisory Action	09/922,182	PLOW ET AL.						
· ·	Examiner	Art Unit						
المستريع	Nathan S Mammen	3671						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 26 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejectine FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any eamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		i					





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The "filter" step in claim 1 and the "selection" step in claim 14 add and change significantly the claim limitations. Accordingly, the proposed amendment necessitates further search and consideration. Since the proposed amendment adds limitations not previously present, the proposed amendment increases and complicates the application for purposes of appeal.

> Thomas B. Will Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600